

REMARKS

Claims 1-9 are pending in the present application. No additional claims fee is believed to be due.

Claims 1 and 9 have been amended to more specifically characterize the invention. Support for the amendment is found at page 4 of the specification.

Claims 5 and 6 have been amended to clarify the claim language.

It is believed these changes do not involve any introduction of new matter. Consequently, entry of these changes is believed to be in order and is respectfully requested.

Rejection Under 35 USC 102 and 35 USC 103(a) Over WO95/25757

Claims 1-9 have been rejected under 35 USC 102 (b) as anticipated by, or in the alternative, under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over WO95/25757. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection. WO95/25757 does not anticipate or establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness because it does not disclose, teach, or suggest all of Applicants' claim limitations. As amended, Claim 1 and 9 now specify that the [rmrm] pentad concentration and [rrr] pentad concentration must be below 3% and 6%, respectively. Therefore, Applicants contend that the claimed invention is not anticipated or obvious and that the rejection should be withdrawn.

Conclusion

In light of the above remarks, it is requested that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the rejection under 35 USC 102 and 35 USC 103. Early and favorable action in the case is respectfully requested.

Applicants have made an earnest effort to place their application in proper form and to distinguish the invention as now claimed from the applied references. In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application, entry of the amendments presented herein, and allowance of Claims 1-9.

Respectfully submitted,

Dyatlov et al.

By Angela Marie Stone
Angela Marie Stone
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 41,335
(513) 634-9397

July 28, 2003
Customer No. 27752